

INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE MECHANISMS AND THEIR MANAGEMENT IN *HELICOVERPA ARMIGERA* (HÜBNER) A REVIEW

Mahmood Ahmad *

ABSTRACT

The development of insecticide resistance management strategies requires a comprehensive knowledge of mechanisms by which insects evolve insecticide resistance. *Helicoverpa armigera* has evolved resistance to almost all chemical groups directed towards it worldwide including organophosphates, carbamates, and pyrethroids. The judicious use of chemicals can greatly help to preserve the usable life span of insecticides. This requires a knowledge of mechanisms of resistance which can prevent un-necessary use of a pesticides to which insects had already evolved or can evolve resistance. The literature review on insecticide resistance, mechanisms and resistance management will help design robust and effective control strategies against *H. armigera*. This purpose gives such a review.

KEYWORDS: *Helicoverpa armigera*; insecticides; pesticide resistance; Pakistan.

INTRODUCTION

H. armigera has received a great attention due to an important pest of a number of cash crops. The mainstay for *H. armigera* control has been the use of chemicals. Almost 30 percent of all pesticides used worldwide are directed against *H. armigera* which resulted into high levels of resistance in this pest. Insecticide resistance in *H. armigera* is widespread problem in Pakistan, China, India, Australia, Thailand, and Indonesia (2, 3, 5-7, 9, 13, 14, 16, 31-33, 38, 41).

Resistance to an insecticide may be defined as “the ability of an insect population to survive a dose of poison that is lethal to the majority of individuals in a normal population of the same species” (12). Resistance may also be defined as “when the failure of an insecticide (applied at its normal rate) to control a population of insects is due to a genetically transmitted capacity to tolerate more insecticide than normal, then insecticide resistance is said to have occurred” (36). The evolution of resistance to a particular insecticide by *Helicoverpa* / *Heliiothis* resulted in high levels of cross-resistance to other insecticides within the same class of insecticide (1, 71). Studies on pyrethroid resistance strains during 1985 and 1986 demonstrated varying levels of resistance to organophosphates and carbamates in

*Ex-Assisstant Entomologist, Plant Protection Institute, AARI, Faisalabad.

pyrethroid-resistant *H. virescens* (22). Leonard *et. al.* (53) reported a broad spectrum cross-resistance to permethrin, cypermethrin, fluvalinate, bipenthrin, esfenvalerate, and deltamethrin in *H. virescens* while cross-resistance to lambda = cyhalothrin was consistently low.

H. armigera has been subjected to intense selection pressure with a range of pesticides and thus, developed significant levels of resistance to insecticides applied for its control.

Resistance to organophosphates(OPs)

H. armigera is generally considered to be relatively susceptible to OP insecticides. However, the highest levels of endosulfan resistance (>50-fold) were recorded in 1974 following several years of endosulfan use in the field. The highest level of resistance recorded after 1984 was 23-fold and selection with endosulfan increased this to 163-fold. Following an insecticide resistance management strategy from 1986 to 1990, level of resistance declined in Australia (41).

High levels of resistance to monocrotophos and low level of resistance to chlorpyrifos and profenophos were reported from Pakistan (4). In another study, low to moderate levels of resistance to OPs in *H. armigera* was documented from India, Pakistan and Thailand (15, 60).

Resistance to carbamates

Wu *et al.* (89, 90) reported significant level of resistance to methomyl in *H. armigera* from Shandong province (China). Resistance to methomyl and thiodicarb in *H. armigera* from New South Wales (Australia) was documented in 1986 and 1993, respectively (39, 44). A low-level resistance to thiodicarb was documented from Pakistan (4). However, a high level of resistance in *H. armigera* populations from cotton growing areas of Andhra Pradesh, India has been reported (14, 15). Resistance in *H. virescens* against methomyl is due to a single autosomal incompletely dominant gene (75).

Resistance to pyrethroids

The earlier resistance to DDT in *H. armigera* and *H. virescens* should have warned us to the potential for pyrethroid resistance as the same mechanism confers resistance to both DDT and pyrethroids (64, 70, 81). *Heliothis* control difficulties with pyrethroids were reported for the first time from Imperial

Valley, California during 1978 (59, 85). Resistance to pyrethroids was first detected in *H. armigera* in Australia in January 1983 in the irrigated area of Emerald in the Central Highlands of Queensland. Significant yield losses of cotton, soybeans and sorghum were documented which was confirmed in subsequent laboratory tests. Low to moderate levels of resistance was found throughout the eastern Australia (mainly NSW and Queensland) (26, 31, 43).

Mortality of third instar larvae of *H. virescens* was reduced to 40-50 percent compared with a susceptible strain from Mississippi (54). Similar results were reported from the Imperial Valley of California in 1979, 1980, and 1981 (58).

When populations of *H. armigera* from Sweet Corn in Victoria, Australia, were tested for resistance frequencies to fenvalerate using a diagnostic dose in an adult vial test, they were found higher than those in the cotton growing regions of northern Australia, where resistance to pyrethroids had been documented in 1983. Adults were much more resistant to fenvalerate than larvae. However, no significant differences in the development times and pupal weights of resistant and susceptible *H. armigera* were detected on corn (34). *kdr* resistance to fenvalerate is inherited in *H. armigera* as an autosomal and incompletely recessive trait (76).

Resistance to delta-methrin and cypermethrin in *H. armigera* was reported from West Africa (56). In follow up studies it was further documented that oxidases, which provided resistance by degradation of pyrethroids in resistant individuals, also activate triazophos in its toxic oxon form resulting in a negative cross-resistance (57). Pyrethroid resistance in *H. armigera* in Spain was not as high or widespread compared with other area of the world (84).

Mechanisms of resistance to insecticides in *H. armigera*

The development of resistance management strategies requires a comprehensive knowledge of mechanisms by which the insect evolves insecticide resistance (47). The evolution of resistance by an insect to an insecticide may involve several mechanisms. When an insect comes in contact with the insecticide resistance may first evolve by reducing the penetration of insecticide through the cuticle. If the insecticide enters the organism, modifications of target-sites or metabolism may result in target-site or metabolic resistance (involving detoxification). In some cases, the insecticide may be excreted from the insect body. Moreover, some insects may become functionally resistant by avoiding the insecticide e.g., behavioral resistance.

Three mechanisms of resistance against pyrethroids in *H. armigera* were found at the onset of pyrethroid resistance in 1983 in Australia, namely, reduced penetration, reduced nerve sensitivity and another factor that was synergisable by Piperonyl Butoxide (Pbo) (37).

Mechanisms of resistance to organophosphates (OPs)

(I). *Mechanisms involving target-site resistance (insensitive acetylcholinesterase) to OPs*

Acetylcholine enzyme (AChEs) acts by hydrolyzing excess neurotransmitter (acetylcholine) in some synapses of the nervous system. OP insecticides act by blocking AChE (65) and has been well studied in a number of insects including *H. virescens* and *H. armigera* (20, 45, 51). Point mutations are considered to be the main cause of reduced sensitivity of AChE to OPs (63). The biochemical analysis of resistance in laboratory selected monocrotophos resistant cotton bollworm (RR: 200) and the susceptible strain revealed that insensitive acetylcholinesterase was involved (73).

(II). *Mechanisms involving metabolic resistance to OPs*

Metabolic resistance can significantly decrease the susceptibility of insects to insecticides. Metabolic resistance to OPs in *Heliothis/ Helicoverpa* is believed to be due to enhanced metabolism of insecticides that decreases the attainment of the effective amount of insecticides that can kill insects. Three major detoxifying enzymes are associated with insecticide resistance (21, 67): (a) cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYPs), (b) glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs), (c) esterases (ESTs). At least one of these stated enzymes in insects is involved in detoxification of insecticides. Enhanced detoxification is usually involved in the evolution of resistance.

Detoxifying CYPs are a superfamily of important enzymes that catalyze multiple oxidative reaction and are capable of metabolizing a variety of endogenous and exogenous substrates. Increased CYP activities were expressed in insecticide-resistant *H. virescens* (91). P450 monooxygenases were involved in the metabolism of OPs in insecticides (21, 72, 88).

GSTs are a family of enzymes that catalyze the conjugation of glutathione with electrophilic substrates such as insecticides (91). Resistance to OPs is considered to be due to metabolism of these compounds by glutathione-S-transferases (88). Harold and Ottea (49) reported glutathion-S-transferases involved in resistance to profenofos in *H. virescens*.

Esterases are a large group of proteins (25) that share a highly catalytic domain characterized by an α/β hydrolase fold in three-dimensional structures (66). Esterase-mediated metabolic resistance is widespread and has been detected in almost all pests and against all classes of insecticides containing an ester moiety. Moreover, Harold and Ottea (49) also reported high frequencies of profenofos resistance correlated with GST in larvae of *H. virescens*. Esterases are usually named according to the substrates they hydrolyze, such as phosphotriester hydrolase (PTEH, EC 3.1.1.2), AchE, or amidases and carboxylesterases. Increased esterase activity toward model (non-insecticidal) substrates is associated with resistance to OP insecticides (91).

Mechanisms of resistance to cyclodienes

Mechanisms involving target-site resistance (altered GABA receptor) to cyclodienes

The chloride channel gated by the inhibitory neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is the major target site for a number of insecticides including cyclodienes (α -endosulfan), fipronil, lindane (18, 23), the spinosyn (86) and the avermectins (17). Reduced sensitivity of GABA-gated chloride channels as a resistance mechanism has been studied extensively only in cyclodiene (such as endosulfan)-resistant insects (19). Target-site insensitivity to cyclodiene action was inferred in adult *H. virescens* on the basis of highly correlated toxicities of dieldrin and endosulfan (51).

Mechanisms of resistance to Carbamates

(i) *Mechanisms involving target-site resistance (insensitive acetylcholinesterase) to carbamates*

Insensitive acetylcholinesterase was a major mechanism of resistance to methomyl, carbaryl, thiodicarb, and pyrethroid-resistant populations of *H. virescens* (91). Similarly, resistance to thiodicarb in Australian *H. armigera* population was due to a form of acetylcholinesterase that is insensitive to both thiodicarb and methomyl (60). Target site resistance to carbamate is similar to organophosphate.

(ii). *Mechanisms involving metabolic resistance to carbamates*

Esterase-mediated metabolic resistance is widespread and has been detected in almost all pests and all classes of insecticides containing an ester moiety. Enhanced esterase and monooxygenase activity have been shown to be mechanisms of resistance to carbamates. Zhao *et al.* (91) reported increased esterase activities that were associated with resistance to carbamates.

Mechanisms of resistance to Pyrethroids

(i) *Mechanisms involving target-site resistance (nerve insensitivity) to pyrethroids*

This mechanism of resistance is not specifically defined. However, it may involve a reduction in the number of sodium channels (69, 76), changes in the fluidity of nerve membranes (24) or changes in the voltage-sensitive sodium channels that alter the binding characteristics for insecticides (77). The main targets for pyrethroids and DDT are voltage-sensitive sodium channels (11, 80). Reduced sensitivity of sodium channels to pyrethroids is expressed in pyrethroid-resistant *H. virescens* (61, 68). Ahmad *et al.* (8) reported nerve insensitivity in a pyrethroid and DDT-resistant Thai strain of *H. armigera*. Similarly, West and McCaffery (87) documented high levels of nerve insensitivity to pyrethroids and DDT in Indian populations *H. armigera*.

(ii). *Mechanisms involving metabolic resistance to pyrethroids*

The major pyrethroid resistance mechanism in both larvae and adults of *H. armigera* involved oxidative metabolic detoxification via a monooxygenase system (33, 56). In addition, a low level Pbo insensitive resistance (nerve insensitivity + penetration resistance factor) was also present (33). Resistance to pyrethroids mostly involved oxidation by the microsomal P450-dependent monooxygenases (or mixed function oxidases) and hydrolysis by esterases (60). However, glutathione-S-transferases do not seem to be involved in resistance to pyrethroids. Studies conducted on mechanisms of resistance to pyrethroids suggest that both oxidative and hydrolytic activity is involved in resistance to pyrethroids in *Heliothis/Helicoverpa* spp.

Three mechanisms of resistance were reported with the first report of resistance to synthetic pyrethroids in Australia (35, 42, 43). A strong nerve insensitivity (*super-*knr**) and a penetration resistance (*pen*) were present alongwith a third factor overcome by PBO (Pbo) (35). Ahmad and McCaffery (10) documented a mechanism of reduced cuticular penetration to trans-cypermethrin in resistant strain of *H. armigera* from Thailand. Similar results

of reduced penetration of esfenvalerate were reported in resistant Australian *H. armigera* (40).

The ability of PBO to completely suppress resistance to pyrethroids in *H. armigera* population homozygous for a metabolic detoxification mechanism was presumably the evidence of the involvement of P450-mediated metabolic resistance (33, 52). Martin *et al.* (56, 57) reported *H. armigera* population evolved resistance to pyrethroids in West Africa via the overproduction of cytochrome P450 (oxidases) that increased pyrethroid metabolism.

Insecticide resistance management strategies

H. armigera was not a serious threat to cotton in Pakistan until late 1980s. However, indiscriminate use of chemicals on *H. armigera* particularly on cotton led to resistance to almost all classes of chemicals. *H. armigera* is a multi-resistant insect species, that is, it can express more than one resistance mechanism to a particular insecticide group. Insecticides resistance management strategies can vary from region to region and, may change in a pest population over time. The main emphases of any resistance management program are directed to (a) prevent resistance evolution in target insect pests, (b) slow down the rate of resistance evolution, and (c) conserve susceptibility in resistant populations.

The process of evolution of resistance in bollworms including *Heliothis/Helicoverpa* could be delayed only with the judicious use of insecticides in concert with non-chemical control tactics (74, 78; 79, 82). Several insecticide resistance management options are available for different resistance mechanisms in *H. armigera*:

(i). Resistance management strategies for organophosphates

Organophosphates have long been used for *H. armigera* control as an effective larvicidal chemical. The use of OPs increased rapidly with an increase in resistance to pyrethroids, endosulfan and carbamates. Low level of resistance to OPs has been reported from Australia (45), however, Ahmad *et al.* (5) reported moderate to high levels of resistance to OPs in Pakistan. There was not a major control failure of OPs reported from the field populations (45). Therefore, OPs should be used judiciously in rotation with pyrethroids, and other new chemistries. In multi-pest situations, such as on cotton crop in Pakistan, there is tendency to use OPs as mixtures with pyrethroids. Mixtures (unless mixing pesticides do not have synergistic/potentiating properties) may aggravate the resistance problem by resulting in

to multiple resistance. Thus, mixtures should only be used as a last resort if there are no alternatives available.

(ii). *Resistance management strategies for carbamates*

There is a low level resistance to carbamates in Pakistani populations of *H. armigera* which is an encouraging news for the farmers. Therefore, it becomes important to preserve effectiveness of carbamates against *H. armigera*. The best-bet strategy to conserve susceptibility to carbamates such as Thiodicarb, is to limit its use to a single application, alone or in mixture per season. Thiodicarb is one of a few insecticides that can still provide an effective control of *H. armigera* with a long residual action. Since there are no reports of cross-resistance to pyrethroids or OPs (3), thiodicarb can be alternated with these two chemical groups. Moreover, to avoid the overuse of Thiodicarb, non-chemical IPM practices based on non-chemical, rotating this chemical with new chemistries and timely spray of carbamates keeping in view the early instars may help preserving its efficacy (6).

(iii). *Resistance management strategies for pyrethroids*

In response to a high level of pyrethroid resistance in Australia, an insecticide resistance management strategy was implemented which restricted the use of pyrethroids to only one of the 4-5 generations of *H. armigera* produced per year. This strategy was a conservative one that needed little information about the population biology of *H. armigera*. This strategy is based on factors that influence the evolution of resistance (30). A similar strategy for managing insecticide resistance in *H. armigera* was introduced in Israel. The main objectives of this strategy were to restrict the use of pyrethroids and endosulfan to short period during cotton season to delay the onset of resistance and to preserve beneficial control agents. The second goal of the strategy was to prolong the lifespan of new insecticides by optimizing their use through monitoring field pest tolerance (50).

Tang (83) suggested that the use of pyrethroids against *H. armigera* should be restricted for a given period of the year. It was further recommended to use insecticides with no history of cross-resistance or rotation with insect growth regulators and *Bt*.

In the Mfo (mixed function oxidases) strain, the major shift in resistance phenotype (33-fold resistance) was due to a single major gene with incomplete dominance (27, 28).

Pbo being an effective esterase inhibitor and a synergist of pyrethroids, is believed to facilitate pyrethroid penetration through the cuticle of resistant *H. armigera* (32, 40, 46). Pbo synergised the effects of synthetic pyrethroid, fenvalerate 1000-fold and can therefore, eliminate resistance entirely (28). Moreover, non-toxic doses of organophosphate as synergists for pyrethroids also showed excellent levels of pyrethroid synergisms against resistant *H. armigera* (46).

Table 1. Possible mechanisms of resistance to the major insecticide groups

Mechanism (s)	Chemical group to which resistance evolves	References
Acetylcholinesterases	Organophosphates Carbamate	(45, 73)
GABA/ Chloride channel	Cyclodiene Fipronil Spinosyn, Avermectin	(17, 18, 23, 86)
Mixed function oxidases	Carbamates Organophosphates Pyrethroids	(62)
Glutathion-S-transferases (including DDT dehydrochlorinases) carboxylesterases	DDT Organophosphate	(21, 67)
	Carbamates Pyrethroids Organophosphate	(62, 91)
Sodium ion channel	DDT Pyrethroids	(10, 76, 80)

The increase in resistance alleles could be countered by dilution as a result of immigration of susceptible moths from non-sprayed cultivated or non-cultivated host plants which act as natural refuge (29, 48, 55).

REFERENCES

1. Adkisson, P. L. and S. Nemeč. 1967. Effectiveness of certain insecticides against chlorinated hydrocarbon-resistant bollworm and tobacco budworm. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 66: 268-270.
2. Ahmad, M., A. I. Arif and Z. Ahmad, 2003. Susceptibility of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to new chemistries in Pakistan. *Crop Protection.* 22: 539-544.

3. Ahmad, M., A. I. Arif, Z. Ahmad and M. R. Attique. 1998. *Helicoverpa armigera* resistance to insecticides in Pakistan. *In: Beltwide Cotton Conference (National Cotton Council of America)*. p.1138-1140.
4. Ahmad, M., M. I. Arif and Z. Ahmad. 1995. Monitoring insecticide resistance of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) in Pakistan. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 88: 771-776.
5. Ahmad, M., M. I. Arif and Z. Ahmad. 1999. Patterns of resistance to organophosphate insecticides in field populations of *Helicoverpa armigera* in Pakistan. *Pestic. Sci.* 55: 626-632.
6. Ahmad, M., M. I. Arif and Z. Ahmad. 2001. Resistance to carbamate insecticides in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Pakistan. *Crop Protection.* 20: 427-432.
7. Ahmad, M., M. I. Arif and M. R. Attique. 1997. Pyrethroid resistance of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) in Pakistan. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 87: 343-347.
8. Ahmad, M., R. T. Gladwell and A. R. McCaffery. 1989. Decreased nerve sensitivity is a mechanism of resistance in a pyrethroid resistant strain of *Heliothis armigera* from Thailand. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 35: 165-171.
9. Ahmad, M. and A. R. McCaffery. 1988. Resistance to insecticides in a Thailand strain of *Heliothis armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 81: 45-48.
10. Ahmad, M. and A. R. McCaffery. 1999. Penetration and metabolism of trans-cypermethrin in a susceptible and a pyrethroid-resistant strain of *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Pesticide Biochem. Physiol.* 65: 6-14.
11. Ahmad, Z. 1999. Pest problems of cotton - a regional perspective. *Proc. ICAC - CCRI Regional Consultation, Insecticidal Resistance Management in Cotton (Central Cotton Research Institute, Multan, Pakistan)*. p.5-20.
12. Anon. 1957. World Health Expert Committee on Insecticides. The 17th Report, WHO Technical Report Series No. 125.
13. Armes, N. J., S. K. Banerjee, K. R. Desouza, D. R. Jadhav, A. King, B. S. K. R., Kranthi, A. Regupathy, T. N. Surulivelu and V. R. 1994. Insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in India: recent developments. *In "Brighton Crop Protection Conference: Pests and Diseases"* (British Crop Protection Council, Brighton, UK). p.437-442.
14. Armes, N. J., D. R. Jadhav, G. S. Bond and A. B. S. King, 1992a. Insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in South India. *Pestic. Sci.* 34: 355-364.
15. Armes, N. J., D. R. Jadhav and K. R. Desouza. 1996. A survey of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in the Indian Subcontinent. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 86: 499-514.

16. Armes, N. J., D. R. Jadhav and A. B. S. King. 1992. Pyrethroid resistance in the pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in southern India. *In: Brighton Crop Protection Conference: Pests and Diseases*. British Crop Protection Council, Brighton, UK.
17. Bloomquist, J. R. 1994. Cyclodiene resistance at the insect GABA receptor/chloride channel complex confers broad cross-resistance to convulsants and experimental phenylpyrazole insecticides. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 26: 69-79.
18. Bloomquist, J. R. 2001. GABA and glutamate receptors as biochemical sites of insecticide action. *In: Biochemical Sites of Insecticide Action and Resistance* Ishaaya, I, (ed.) Springer-Verlag. Berlin. p.17-24.
19. Bloomquist, J. R., R. T., Roush and R. H. French-Constant. 1992. Reduced neuronal sensitivity to dieldrin and picrotoxinin in a cyclodiene-resistant strain of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Miegen). *Arch. Insect. Biochem. Physiol.* 26: 69-79.
20. Brown, T. M. and P. K. Bryson. 1992. Selective inhibition of methomyl parathion-resistant acetylcholinesterase from *Heliothis virescens*. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 44: 155-164.
21. Bull, D. L. 1981. Factors that influence tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens*, resistance to organophosphorous insecticides. *Bull. Entomol. Soc. Amer* 27: 193-197.
22. Campanhola, C. and F. W. Plapp. 1987. Toxicity of pyrethroids and other insecticides against susceptible and resistant tobacco budworm larvae and synergism by chlordimeform. *Proc. 1987 Beltwide Cotton Production Research Conferences*. Dallas, Tex. p.326-329.
23. Casida, J. E. 1993. Insecticide action at the GABA-gated chloride channel: recognition, progress, and prospects. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 22: 13-23.
24. Chiang, C., and A. L. Devonshire. 1982. Changes in membrane phospholipids, identified by Amhenius plots of acet and associated with pyrethroid resistance (*kdr*) in house flies (*Musca domestica*). *Pestic. Sci.* 13: 156-160.
25. Cousin, X., T. Hotelier, K. Giles, P. Lievin, J. P. Toutant and A. Chattonnet. 1997. Cholinesterase genes serve (ESTHER): a database of cholinesterase-related sequences for multiple alignments, phylogenetic relationships, mutations and structural data retrieval. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 24: 132-136.
26. Daly, J. C. 1988a. Insecticide resistance in *Heliothis armigera* in Australia. *Pestic. Sci.* 23: 165-176.

27. Daly, J. C. and J. H. Fisk. 1992. Inheritance of metabolic resistance to the synthetic pyrethroids in Australian *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Bull. Entomol. Res. 82: 5-12.
28. Daly, J. C. and J. H. Fisk. 1993. Expression of pyrethroid resistance in adult *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and selective mortality in field populations. Bull. Entomol. Res. 83: 23-28.
29. Daly, J. C. and G. P. Fitt. 1990. Resistance frequencies in overwintering pupae and the first spring generation of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): Selective mortality and immigration. J. Econ. Entomol. 83: 1682-1688.
30. Daly, J. C. and J. A. McKenzie. 1986. Resistance management strategies in Australia: the *Heliothis* and 'Workmill' programmes. In: British Crop Protection Conference on Pests and Diseases. The British Plant Protection Council, Surrey, Brighton, UK. p. 951-959.
31. Daly, J. C. and D. A. H. Murray, 1988. Evolution of resistance to pyrethroids in *Heliothis armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. J. Econ. Entomol. 81: 984-988.
32. Forrester, N. W. 1991. Pyrethroid and endosulfan resistance in *Heliothis armigera* in Australia 1990-1991. Resistant Pest Management. 3: 31-34.
33. Forrester, N. W., M., Cahill, L. J., Bird and J. K. Layland, 1993. Management of pyrethroid and endosulfan resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia UK. CAB International. Pp.132.
34. Glenn, D. C., A. A., Hoffmann and G. McDonald, 1994. Resistance to pyrethroids in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from corn: adult resistance, larval resistance, and fitness effects. J. Econ. Entomol. 87:
35. Gunning, R. 1991. Mechanism of pyrethroid resistance in Australian *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). Resistant Pest Management. 3: 18-25.
36. Gunning, R. V. 1991. Measuring insecticide resistance. In: *Heliothis*: Research Methods and Prospects Zalucki, M. P. (ed.) Springer-Verlag. New York. p.151-156.
37. Gunning, R. V. 1993. Comparison of two bioassay techniques for larvae of *Helicoverpa* spp. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 86: 234-238.
38. Gunning, R. V. 1994a. Pyrethroid resistance increasing in unsprayed populations of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Huebner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in New South Wales 1987-90. J. Aust. Entomol. Soc. 33: 381-383.

39. Gunning, R. V., M. E., Balfe, and C. S. Easton, 1992. Carbamate resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. *J. Aust. Entomol. Soc.* 31: 97-103.
40. Gunning, R. V., A. L., Devonshire and G. Moores, 1995. Metabolism of esfevalerate by pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant Australian *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 51: 205-213.
41. Gunning, R. V., and C. S. Easton, 1994b. Endosulfan resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. *J. Aust. Entomol. Soc.* 33: 9-12.
42. Gunning, R. V., C. S., Easton, M. E., Balfe and I. G. Ferris. 1991. Pyrethroid resistance mechanisms in Australian *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Pestic. Sci.* 33: 473-490.
43. Gunning, R. V., C. S., Easton, L. R., Greenup and V. E. Edge, 1984. Pyrethroid resistance in *Heliothis armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 77: 1283-1287.
44. Gunning, R. V., G. D., Moores and A. L. Devonshire, 1996b. Insensitive acetylcholinesterase and resistance to thiodicarb in Australian *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 55: 21-28.
45. Gunning, R. V., G. D., Moores and A. L. Devonshire, 1998. Insensitive acetylcholinesterase and resistance to organophosphates in Australian *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 62: 147-151.
46. Gunning, R. V., G. D., Moores and A. L. Devonshire. 1999. Esterase inhibitors synergise the toxicity of pyrethroids in Australian *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 63: 50-62.
47. Hammock, B. D. and D. M. Soderlund. 1986. Chemical strategies for resistance management. *In: Pesticide Resistance Strategies and Tactics for Management/Committee on Strategies for the Management of Pesticide Resistant Pest Population, Board on Agriculture, National Research Council (National Academy Press. Washington, D. C). p.111-129.*
48. Han, Z., Y., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Li and G. Li, 1999. Dynamics of pyrethroid resistance in a field population of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in China. *Pestic. Sci.* 55: 462-466.
49. Harold, J. A., and J. A. Ottea, 1997. Toxicological significance of enzyme activities in profenofos-resistant tobacco budworms, *Heliothis virescens* (F.). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 58: 23-33.

50. Horowitz, A. R., I., Ishaaya and G. Forer. 1992. Insecticide resistance management strategy in cotton field in Israel. *Resistant Pest Management*. 4: 26-27.
51. Kanga, L. H. B. and F. W. J. Plapp. 1995. Target-site insensitivity as the mechanism of resistance to organophosphorus, carbamate, and cyclodiene insecticides in tobacco budworm adults. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 88: 1150-1157.
52. Kranthi, K. R., N. J., Armes, N. G. V., Rao, S., Raj and V. T. Sundaramurthy, 1997. Seasonal dynamics of metabolic mechanisms mediating pyrethroid resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in central India. *Pestic. Sci.* 50: 91-98.
53. Leonard, B. R., T. C., Sparks and J. B. Graves. 1988. Insecticide cross-resistance in pyrethroid-resistant strains of tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 81: 1529-1535.
54. Luttrell, R. G. 1987. Pyrethroid resistance in field populations of *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Mississippi in 1986. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 80: 985-989.
55. Madden, A. D., J., Holt and N. J. Armes. 1995. The role of uncultivated hosts in the spread of pyrethroid resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* populations in Andhra Pradesh, India: a simulation approach. *Ecological Modelling*. 82: 61-76.
56. Martin, T., F., Chandre, O. G., Ochou, M., Vaissayre and D. Fournier. 2002. Pyrethroid resistance mechanisms in the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from West Africa. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 74: 17-26.
57. Martin, T., O. G., Ochou, M., Vaissayre and D. Fournier. 2003. Oxidases responsible for resistance to pyrethroids sensitize *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) to triazophos in West Africa. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 33: 883-887.
58. Martinez-Carrillo, J. L. and H. T. Reynolds. 1983. Dosage-mortality studies with pyrethroids and other insecticides on the tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from the Imperial Valley, California. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 76: 983-986.
59. Martinez-Carrilo, J. L. and H. T. Reynolds. 1983. Dosage-mortality studies with pyrethroids and other insecticides on the tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Imperial Valley, California. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 76: 983-986.
60. McCaffery, A. R. 1998. Resistance to insecticides in heliothine Lepidoptera: a global view. *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences*. 353: 1735-1750.

61. McCaffery, A. R., R. T., Gladwell, H., El-Nayir, C. H., Walker, J. Perry, N. and M. J. Miles. 1991. Mechanisms of resistance to pyrethroids in laboratory and field strains of *Heliothis virescens*. Suppl. Southwest. Entomol. 15: 143-158.
62. McKenzie, J. A. and M. M. Batterham, 1994. The genetic, molecular and phenotypic consequences of selection for insecticide resistance. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9: 166-169.
63. Mutero, A., M., Pravorio, V., Simeon and D., Fournier. 1992. Catalytic properties of cholinesterases: importance of tyrosine 109 in *Drosophila* protein. Neuroreport. 3: 39-42.
64. Nicholson, R. A. and T. A. Miller. 1985. Multifactorial resistance to trans-permethrin in field-collected strains of the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* F. Pestic. Sci. 16: 561-570.
65. O'Brien, R. D. 1960. Allelic genes in the house fly producing modified enzymes that cause organophosphorous resistance. Science. 132: 298-299.
66. Ollis, D. L., E., Cheah, M., Cygler, B., Dijkstra, F., Frolow, S. Franken, M., M., Harel, S. J., Remigton, I., Silman, J. D., Schrang, J. Sussman, L., K. H. G., Verschueren and A. Goldman. 1992. The alpha/ beta hydrolase fold. Protein, 5: 197-211.
67. Oppenoorth, F. J. 1985. Biochemistry and genetics of insecticide resistance. In: Comparative Insect Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology Kerkut G. A. and L. I. Gilbert (eds). Pergamon Press. Oxford. p.731-773.
68. Park, Y., M. F. J., Taylor and R. Feyereisen. 1997. A valine421 to methionine mutation in IS6 of the hscp voltage-gated sodium channel associated with pyrethroid resistance in *Heliothis virescens*. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 239: 688-691.
69. Plapp, F. W. J. 1986. Genetics and biochemistry of insecticide resistance in arthropod: prospects for the future. In: National Academy of Sciences, Pesticide Resistance: Strategies and Tactics for Management National Academy Press. Washington. P. 74-86
70. Plapp, F. W. J., and R. F. Hoyer, 1968. Possible pleiotropism of a gene conferring resistance to DDT, DDT analogs and pyrethrums in *Musca domestica* and *Culex tarsalis*. J. Econ. Entomol. 61: 761-765.
71. Plazzo, R. J. 1978. Comparisons of the responses of adults and larvae of five lepidopteran species to seven insecticides. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge. Ph.D. Thesis.
72. Reed, W. T. 1974. *Heliothis* larvae: variation in mixed function oxidase activity as related to insecticide tolerance. J. Econ. Entomol. 67: 150-152.

73. Ren, X., Z., Han and Y. Wang. 2002. Mechanism of monocrotophos resistance in cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 51: 103-110.
74. Riley, S. L. 1989. Pyrethroid resistance in *Heliothis virescens*: current US management programs. Pestic. Sci. 26: 411-421.
75. Roush, R. T. and D. A. Wolfenbarger. 1985. Inheritance of methomyl resistance in the tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 78: 1020-1022.
76. Ru, L., C., Wei, J. Z., Zhao and A. Liu. 1998. Difference in resistance to fenvalerate and cyhalothrin and inheritance of knockdown resistance to fenvalerate in *Helicoverpa armigera*. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 61: 79-85.
77. Salgado, V. L., S. N., Irving and T. A. Miller. 1983. Depolarization of motor nerve terminals by pyrethroids in susceptible and *kdr*-resistant house flies. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 20: 100-114.
78. Sawicki, R. M. 1989. Current insecticide management practices in cotton around the world - short-term success or templates for the future. Pestic. Sci. 26: 401-410.
79. Sawicki, R. M. and I. Denholm. 1987. Management of resistance to pesticides in cotton pests. Trop. Pest Manag. 33: 262-272.
80. Soderlund, D. M. and J. R. Bloomquist, 1990. Molecular mechanism of insecticide resistance. In: Pesticide Resistance in Arthropods (R. T. Roush and B. E. Tabashnik (eds.), Chapman and Hall. New York. p.58-96.
81. Sparks, T. C., B. R., Leonard and J. B. Graves. 1988. Pyrethroid resistance and the tobacco budworm interactions with chlordimeform and mechanisms of resistance. In: Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf., National Council of America (Memphis, TN. p.366-370
82. Taher, M. 1994. Expert consultation on cotton pest problems and their control in the near east. FAO Plant Prot. Bull. 42: 139-148.
83. Tang, Z. 1992. Insecticide resistance and countermeasures for cotton pests in China. Resistant Pest Management. 4: 9-12.
84. Torres-Vila, L. M., M.C.Rodriguez-Molina, A.Lacasa-Plasenica, P. Bielza-Lino and A. Rodriguez-del-Rincon. 2002. Pyrethroid resistance of *Helicoverpa armigera* in Spain: current status and agroecological perspective. Agri. Ecosystems and Environment. 93: 55-66.
85. Twine, P. H. and H. T. Reynolds. 1980. Relative susceptibility and resistance of the tobacco budworm to methyl parathion and synthetic pyrethroids in Southern California. J. Econ. Entomol. 73: 239-242.

86. Watson, G. B. 2001. Actions of insecticidal spinosyns on gamma-aminobutyric acid responses from small-diameter neurons. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 71: 20-28.
87. West, A. J. and A. R. McCaffery. 1992. Evidence for nerve insensitivity to cypermethrin from Indian strains of *Helicoverpa armigera*. *In: Proc. Brighton Crop Protec. Conference: Pests and Diseases.* The British Crop Protection Council. Farham, UK.
88. Whitten, C. J. and D. L. Bull. 1974. Comparative toxicity, absorption and metabolism of chlorpyrifos and dimethyl homologue in methyl parathion-resistant and susceptible tobacco budworm. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 4: 266-274.
89. Wu, Y., J. Shen, J. Chen, X., Lin and A. Li. 1996. Evaluation of two resistance monitoring methods in *Helicoverpa armigera* tropical application method and leaf dipping method. *J. Plant Prot.* 5: 3-6.
90. Wu, Y., J. Shen, F. Tan and Z. You. 1995. Mechanism of fenvalerate resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner. *J. Nanjing Agr. Univ.* 18: 63-68.
91. Zhao, G., R. L. Rose, E. Hodgson and R. M. Roe. 1996. Biochemical mechanisms and diagnostic microassays for pyrethroid, carbamate, and organophosphate insecticide resistance/ cross-resistance in the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens*. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 56: 183-195.